

Evaluation of wheat genotypes to rust diseases (*Puccinia* spp.) under agroclimatic conditions of Egypt and China

Mohamed A. Gad^{1,2} • Hao-xing Li³ • Ming-ju Li^{1*} • Walid M. El-Orabey² • Mohamed A. Hasan²

¹Institute of Agricultural Environment and Resources, Yunnan Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Kunming 650205, China.

²Wheat Diseases Research Department, Plant Pathology Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Giza 12619, Egypt.

³College of Life Science and Technology, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan 430070, China.

*Corresponding author. E-mail: lily69618@163.com

Accepted 6th September, 2019.

Abstract. Wheat cultivars vary in their responses to rust diseases during growing seasons due to the climatic conditions, the quantity of pathogen source, and time of infection. Forty-seven wheat genotypes planted in Egypt and Yunnan, China during 2015/2016, 2016/2017, 2017/2018 growing seasons and evaluated at field level to determine their effectiveness to stripe, leaf, and stem rust diseases under natural conditions. Results showed that 26, 29 and 34 genotypes in Egypt, while 17, 21, and 16 genotypes in Yunnan were resistant to stripe rust during 2016, 2017 and 2018 seasons, respectively. Also, eight, nine, and ten genotypes in Egypt were resistant to leaf rust during 2016, 2017, and 2018 seasons, respectively. In Yunnan, there was no infection occurred in 2016 to leaf rust while 43 and 44 wheat lines were resistant to leaf rust in 2017 and 2018 seasons, respectively. In Egypt, 37, 40, and 29 lines were resistant to stem rust in 2016, 2017, and 2018 seasons, respectively. While in Yunnan, there was no infection recorded for stem rust during all three growing seasons. Results exhibited that the resistance genes *Yr5*, *Yr15*, *Yr17*, *YrTr1*, *Yr (7, 25)* were resistant to stripe rust, and *Lr19* was resistant to leaf rust during all growing seasons in both locations, while the resistance genes *Sr24*, *Sr36*, *Sr38* were resistant to stem rust in Egypt during all years of the study, for that, these genes can be used safely in the breeding program for releasing new commercial cultivars under agroclimatic conditions of Egypt and Yunnan, China.

Keywords: Wheat, rust, resistance genes, Egypt, China.

INTRODUCTION

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is an essential source of carbohydrates, multiple nutrients, and dietary fibre (Shewry and Hey, 2015). In 2018/2019, the whole world wheat production was 730.55 million metric tons, while China and Egypt produced 131.43 and 8.45 million metric tons, contributed 17.99 and 1.16% to world production, respectively (USDA, 2019). Therefore, the safety of wheat production in China and Egypt play a crucial role in world food safety. Wheat rusts, however, including stripe

rust (*Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. *tritici*), leaf rust (*Puccinia triticina*), and stem rust (*Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici*), cause severe yield losses worldwide, threatening safety of wheat production (Wellings, 2011).

Wheat rusts breakout frequently in both of China and Egypt. The estimated yield losses by stripe rust are at least 5.5 million tons per year at a global level (Beddow *et al.*, 2015). In China, stripe rust has been considered the most severe disease of grain since the first major

Table 1. Temperature datasets of experimental sites during 2016, 2017, and 2018 wheat growing seasons.

| Months | Gharbiya-Air temp. °C | | | | | | Kunming-Air temp. °C | | | | | |
|----------|-----------------------|-----|-----------|-----|-----------|-----|----------------------|-----|-----------|-----|-----------|-----|
| | 2015/2016 | | 2016/2017 | | 2017/2018 | | 2015/2016 | | 2016/2017 | | 2017/2018 | |
| | Min | Max | Min | Max | Min | Max | Min | Max | Min | Max | Min | Max |
| November | 12 | 26 | 14 | 28 | 16 | 24 | 6 | 11 | 4 | 22 | 3 | 23 |
| December | 10 | 21 | 11 | 23 | 10 | 20 | -1 | 18 | 3 | 19 | 1 | 20 |
| January | 8 | 20 | 10 | 21 | 9 | 15 | -1 | 18 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 19 |
| February | 9 | 22 | 10 | 24 | 12 | 20 | 1 | 18 | 4 | 8 | -2 | 23 |
| March | 10 | 25 | 11 | 26 | 15 | 25 | 5 | 25 | 5 | 25 | 6 | 24 |
| April | 13 | 30 | 12 | 32 | 17 | 28 | 9 | 24 | 9 | 17 | 7 | 28 |

epidemic in 1950 (Kang *et al.*, 2010). It led to a significant yield loss and affected more than 67,000 square kilometers of cropland between 2000 and 2016 due to the massive extension of the epidemic (Shi *et al.*, 2018). In Egypt, Gebrel *et al.* (2018) reported disease severity of stripe rust reached to 30% in some bread wheat cultivars during 2017/2018 growing season and found that rust diseases have a strong negative correlation with grain yield. Losses in grain production in susceptible varieties may be reaching to 100% if an infection has occurred very early by stripe rust (Afzal *et al.*, 2007).

Leaf rust can cause more than 40% production losses when the disease is severe on susceptible cultivars (Khan *et al.*, 2013). In China, more than 15 million hectares of wheat are affected annually. Regular wheat leaf rust epidemics occur in the southwest and northwest, the middle and lower Yangtze River Valley and the southern Huang-Huai-Hai region of China (Huerta-Espino *et al.*, 2011). Significant yield losses were documented in Gansu, Shanxi, Henan, and Anhui provinces of China in 2012 (Li *et al.*, 2014; Zhou *et al.*, 2013). In Egypt, leaf rust is the most common and one of important wheat diseases. It caused severe losses in grain yield reaches more than 23%, and in epidemic years, they may reach up to 50% (Kassem *et al.*, 2011; Draz *et al.* 2015).

In China, stem rust has controlled since the 1970s. While, with the emergence of aggressive race Ug99, which breakdown the resistance gene *Sr31* became a new threat to wheat production in China, as 60% of wheat cultivars in China contain *Sr31* (Li *et al.*, 2016). Kokhmetova *et al.* (2011) found stem rust have impacts on the entire wheat crop, causing losses in grain yield up to 100%. Hasan *et al.* (2016) noted that stem rust disease caused injuries in grain yield in some wheat cultivars in Egypt, and disease severity reaches up to more than 60%.

Egypt located in north-eastern Africa, which has water boundaries over the Mediterranean Sea and the Red Sea, considered a part of the Middle East, the origin of common wheat, also the most likely source of newly spreading, optimum-temperature-adapted strains (Ali *et al.*, 2014). While Yunnan, located in south-western China, borders on Myanmar westward, close to the Himalayan, the source of wheat yellow rust disease. Previous

research indicated that the Himalayan and neighbouring regions such as Pakistan, Nepal, as well as China, are the centre of origin for wheat stripe rust pathogen (Ali *et al.*, 2014). Thus, Yunnan is a vital ring for the migration chain of wheat stripe rust pathogen in the world. Therefore, monitor the effectiveness of resistance genes to wheat rust in these hotspots will provide useful information for breeding and rational use of resistant genes. The objective of this study was to determine the effectiveness of various resistance genes to the stripe, leaf and stem rust diseases at field level under natural conditions in Gharbiya, Egypt and Kunming, Yunnan, China during three growing seasons (2015 to 2018) to assist in the development of wheat cultivars with high level resistance.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental site

This experiment conducted at two locations: 1) Gharbiya, Egypt with the geographical position N 23° 33' Latitude, E 89° 44' Longitude, 2) Kunming, China with 2140 m Altitude, N 25° 07'11" Latitude, and E 102° 51'12" Longitude. Both of the two locations have similar latitude, most of the area located on the North of the Tropic of Cancer, N 23.5° Latitude. The monthly temperature datasets of the experimental sites listed in Table 1, which was an essential resource for monitoring and understanding climate variability and climate change and its impacts on the occurrence of rust diseases.

Experimental material and design

Forty-seven wheat rust resistance genes derived from CIMMYT used in this work (Table 2). Field assays conducted in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with four replicates for each genotype during 2015/2016, 2016/2017, and 2017/2018 wheat growing seasons. Each genotype was grown in two lines of 3 meter long with 30 centimeters apart and spaced at 20

Table 2. List of wheat genotypes evaluated for wheat rusts in Egypt and China during 2016, 2017, and 2018 growing seasons.

| No. | Genotypes | Property | Resistance Gene |
|-----|--------------------------------------|----------|-------------------|
| 1. | Avocet S*6/Yr1 | Spring | Yr1 |
| 2. | Avocet S*6/Yr5 | Spring | Yr5 |
| 3. | Avocet S*6/Yr6 | Spring | Yr6 |
| 4. | Avocet S*6/Yr7 | Spring | Yr7 |
| 5. | Avocet S*6/Yr8 | Spring | Yr8 |
| 6. | Avocet S*6/Yr9 | Spring | Yr9 |
| 7. | Avocet S*6/Yr10 | Spring | Yr10 |
| 8. | Avocet S*6/Yr15 | Spring | Yr15 |
| 9. | Avocet S*6/Yr17 | Spring | Yr17 |
| 10. | Avocet S*6/Yr27 | Spring | Yr27 |
| 11. | Avocet-YRA*3/3/ALTAR 84/AE.SQ//OPATA | Spring | Yr28 |
| 12. | Avocet-YRA*3/PASTOR | Spring | Yr31 |
| 13. | Avocet S*6/Yr32 | Spring | Yr32 |
| 14. | Avocet R | Spring | YrA |
| 15. | Avocet S*6/YrSP | Spring | YrSP |
| 16. | AvS YrTres1 | Spring | YrTr1 |
| 17. | T.spelta album | Winter | Yr5 |
| 18. | Hybrid 46 | Winter | Yr(4,H46,3b,4b,+) |
| 19. | Reichersberg 42 | Winter | Yr(7, 25) |
| 20. | Heines Peko | Winter | Yr(2,6) |
| 21. | Nord Desprez | Winter | Yr(3,+) |
| 22. | Compare | Winter | Yr(8,19) |
| 23. | Carsten V | Winter | Yr32 |
| 24. | Spaldings Prolific | Winter | YrSpP |
| 25. | Heines VII | Winter | Yr(2,+) |
| 26. | Kalyansona | Spring | Yr(2, 29) |
| 27. | Virmorin 23 | Winter | Yr(3,V23,+) |
| 28. | Hugenoot | Winter | Yr25 |
| 29. | Jupateco R | Spring | Yr18 |
| 30. | Transfer/6*TC | Spring | Lr9 |
| 31. | TC*6/Exchange | Spring | Lr16 |
| 32. | TC*7/Tr | Spring | Lr19 |
| 33. | TC*6/Agent | Spring | Lr24 |
| 34. | TC*6/ST-1.25 | Spring | Lr26 |
| 35. | ISr5-Ra | Spring | Sr5 |
| 36. | ISr6-Ra | Spring | Sr6 |
| 37. | Verstein Sr9e | Spring | Sr9e |
| 38. | ISr11 Ra | Spring | Sr11 |
| 39. | CnS_T_mono_der | Spring | Sr21 |
| 40. | LcSr24Ag | Spring | Sr24 |
| 41. | Eagle Sr26 McIntosh | Spring | Sr26 |
| 42. | BtSr30 Wst | Spring | Sr30 |
| 43. | Sr31 (Benno)/6*LMPG-6 DK42 | Spring | Sr31 |
| 44. | W2691SrTt-1 | Spring | Sr36 |
| 45. | Trident Sr38 | Spring | Sr38 |
| 46. | Avocet S | Spring | None |
| 47. | Little Club | Spring | None |

Table 3. Adapted scale for rust infection type in wheat.

| Response value | Description | Infection type |
|----------------|---|-----------------------------|
| 0 | No visible symptoms | Immune (0) |
| 0.2 | Uredia minute, supported by distinct necrotic area | Resistant (R) |
| 0.4 | Uredia small to medium, in green islands surrounded by chlorotic tissue | Moderately resistant (MR) |
| 0.8 | Uredia medium in size, no necrotic but chlorotic areas may be present | Moderately susceptible (MS) |
| 1 | Uredia large, no necrosis but chlorosis may be evident | Susceptible (S) |

centimeters apart between the rows. Regular agricultural practices were carried out, and susceptible cultivar as Morocco was grown as spreader rows to spread rust inoculums under natural infection conditions.

Disease assessment

When rust symptoms were fully developed, nearly at the early dough stage (Large, 1954), the rust reaction data of adult plant scored as plant response and rust severity. Plant response expressed in five infection types (ITs), according to (Johnston and Browder, 1966). When the spreader plants were 50% infected, the rust data were scored four times for disease severity as percentage coverage of leaves with rust pustules using Cobb's scale modified by Peterson *et al.* (1948) at weekly intervals (Table 3). Partial resistance (slow rusting) behaviour assessed through host response and epidemiological parameters estimates as the average coefficient of infection (ACI). ACI calculated according to (Saari and Wilcoxson, 1974; Pathan and Park, 2006).

ACI = Values of rust severity \times Response value

RESULTS

Forty-seven wheat rust resistance genes derived from CIMMYT cultivated and evaluated to determine their effectiveness to rust diseases at field level between two locations Gharbiya, Egypt and Kunming, China during 2015/2016, 2016/2017, and 2017/2018 wheat growing seasons. Results showed that 9 genotypes, *i.e.* AvS/Yr5, Yr15, Yr17, YrTr1, T.sp/Yr5, Yr(7,25), Lr9, Lr16, Sr6, and 8 genotypes, *i.e.* YrTr1, T.sp/Yr5, Yr (7, 25), Yr(2,6), Yr(2,+), Lr19, Sr5, Sr24, while 28 genotypes, *i.e.* Yr1, AvS/Yr5, Yr8, Yr10, Yr15, Yr27, YrSP, YrTr1, T.sp/Yr5, Yr(4,H46,3b,4b,+), Yr(7, 25), Yr(2,6), Yr(3,+), Yr(8,19), Yr32, YrSpP, Yr(2,+), Yr2, Yr (3,V23,+), Yr25, Yr18, Avocet S, Lr9, Lr16, Lr26, Sr24, Sr36, Sr38 were gave the highest values of disease resistance 100% efficiency to stripe, leaf and stem rust diseases, respectively during the three successive growing seasons.

Evaluation of wheat genotypes under agro-climatic conditions of Egypt

Evaluation of wheat lines to stripe rust disease

During wheat growing season 2015/2016, results showed that the stripe rust severity for the examined lines varied from 0 to 40% with different ITs under field conditions. Out of 47 tested genotypes, 26 genotypes showed desirable resistance to stripe rust disease, rust severities ranged from 0 to 0.2%. On the other hand, 21 genotypes showed different ITs (MR, MS and S) with varying levels of disease severity ranged from 3% to 40% (Table 4). In season 2016/2017, results revealed that the number of resistant genotypes was 29, which gave immune reaction 0. While 18 genotypes showed different ITs (MS and S) with different disease severity ranged from 3 to 50% (Table 4). In season 2017/2018, results revealed that the number of resistant genotypes increased to 34, which gave immune reaction 0. While 13 genotypes showed different ITs (MS and S) with different disease severity ranged from 3% to 40% (Table 4).

Evaluation of wheat lines to leaf rust disease

In season 2015/2016, results showed that leaf rust severity of the examined lines varied from 0 to 80% with different ITs under field conditions. Out of 47 tested genotypes, eight genotypes showed desirable resistance to leaf rust (Table 5). In season 2016/2017, results revealed that the number of resistant genotypes was nine, which gave immune reaction ranged from 0 to 0.2%. On the other hand, 38 genotypes showed different ITs (MS and S) with different disease severity ranged from 3% to 80% (Table 5). In season 2017/2018, results revealed that the number of resistant genotypes increased to ten, which gave immune reaction 0. While 37 genotypes showed different ITs (MS and S) with different disease severity ranged from 3 to 80% (Table 5).

Evaluation of wheat lines to stem rust disease

From season 2015/2016, results revealed that the

Table 4. Contd

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|-----------------|----------|-----|------|-----|-----|----|-----|----|------|----|------|----|
| 32 | <i>Lr19</i> | 30S | 30 | 70MR | 28 | 20S | 20 | 0 | 0 | 10MS | 8 | 20MS | 16 |
| 33 | <i>Lr24</i> | 10S | 10 | 0 | 0 | 5S | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20S | 20 |
| 34 | <i>Lr26</i> | 0 | 0 | 20MR | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20MS | 16 |
| 35 | <i>Sr5</i> | TRM R | 1.2 | 10MS | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30S | 30 |
| 36 | <i>Sr6</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 37 | <i>Sr9e</i> | 20S | 20 | 60MS | 48 | TRS | 3 | 30S | 30 | 10S | 10 | 30S | 30 |
| 38 | <i>Sr11</i> | 5S | 5 | 0 | 0 | TRS | 3 | 20S | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 39 | <i>Sr21</i> | TRS | 3 | 0 | 0 | TRS | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10S | 10 |
| 40 | <i>Sr24</i> | 30S | 30 | 5MS | 4 | 10S | 10 | 30S | 30 | 20S | 20 | 70S | 70 |
| 41 | <i>Sr26</i> | 0 | 0 | 10MS | 8 | 0 | 0 | 5R | 1 | 0 | 0 | 20S | 20 |
| 42 | <i>Sr30</i> | 10MR | 4 | 90S | 90 | 0 | 0 | 20S | 20 | 0 | 0 | 40S | 40 |
| 43 | <i>Sr31</i> | 10S | 10 | 60MS | 48 | 10S | 10 | 20S | 30 | 0 | 0 | 40S | 40 |
| 44 | <i>Sr36</i> | 0 | 0 | 20S | 20 | 0 | 0 | 40S | 40 | 0 | 0 | 40S | 40 |
| 45 | <i>Sr38</i> | TRR | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20S | 20 | 0 | 0 | 10MS | 8 |
| 46 | <i>Avocet S</i> | 40S | 40 | 100S | 100 | 20S | 20 | 50S | 50 | 20S | 20 | 60S | 60 |
| 47 | Little Club | 20S | 20 | 20S | 20 | 10S | 10 | 60S | 60 | 10S | 10 | 40S | 40 |

Note: 0-100 = values of rust severity; R-resistant; MR-moderately resistant; MS – moderately susceptible; S – susceptible; TR- Trace = 3.

number of resistant genotypes was 37, which gave resistant reaction ranged from 0 to 0.2%. On the other hand, ten genotypes, which gave resistant response ranged from 2.4 to 40% (Table 6). In season 2016/2017, results revealed that stem rust severity was low. In this regard, the highest number of resistant genotypes observed during this season (40 genotypes) showed immune reaction ranged from 0 to 0.2%, whereas seven genotypes which gave values ranged from 2.4 to 24% (Table 6). In season 2017/2018, results revealed that the number of resistant genotypes was 29, which gave resistant reaction ranged from 0 to 0.2%. While, 18 genotypes showed different ITs (MR, MS, and S) with different disease severity ranged from 1.2 to 50% (Table 6).

Evaluation of wheat genotypes under agroclimatic conditions of China

Evaluation of wheat lines to stripe rust disease

During wheat growing season 2015/2016, results showed that stripe rust severity of the examined lines varied from 0 to 100% with different ITs under field conditions. Out of 47 tested genotypes, 17 genotypes showed desirable/acceptable resistance to stripe rust, which gave resistant reaction 0. On the other hand, 30 genotypes showed different ITs (MR, MS, and S) with different disease severity ranged from 4 to 100% (Table 4). In season 2016/2017, data in Table (4) revealed that the number of resistant genotypes was increased to 21, whereas rust severities

ranged from 0 to 0.2%. While 26 genotypes showed differently IT (S) with different disease severity ranged from 10 to 80%. In season 2017/2018, data in Table 4 revealed that the number of resistant genotypes was 16, which gave immune reaction 0. While 31 genotypes showed different ITs (MR, MS, and S) with different disease severity ranged from 4 to 90%.

Evaluation of wheat lines to leaf rust disease

In season 2015/2016, results showed that leaf rust severity for the examined lines was 0 under field conditions. All 47 tested genotypes showed desirable/acceptable resistance to leaf rust (Table 5). In season 2016/2017, data in Table (5) revealed

Table 5. An average coefficient of infection (ACI) and rust severity (RS) of the evaluated wheat lines to leaf rust under natural conditions of Egypt and China during 2016, 2017 and 2018 growing seasons.

| No. | Resistance gene /genotype | Leaf Rust | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|---------------------------|-----------|-----|-------|-----|--------|-----|-------|-----|-------|-----|-------|-----|
| | | 2016 | | | | 2017 | | | | 2018 | | | |
| | | Egypt | | China | | Egypt | | China | | Egypt | | China | |
| | | RS | ACI | RS | ACI | RS | ACI | RS | ACI | RS | ACI | RS | ACI |
| 1 | <i>Yr1</i> | 10S | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10MS | 8 | 0 | 0 | 5MS | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | <i>AvS/Yr5</i> | 40MS | 32 | 0 | 0 | 20MS | 16 | 0 | 0 | 60MS | 48 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | <i>Yr6</i> | 40S | 40 | 0 | 0 | 30S | 30 | 0 | 0 | 50S | 50 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | <i>Yr7</i> | 50S | 50 | 0 | 0 | 60S | 60 | 0 | 0 | 60S | 60 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | <i>Yr8</i> | 40S | 40 | 0 | 0 | 60S | 60 | 0 | 0 | 60S | 60 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | <i>Yr9</i> | 70S | 70 | 0 | 0 | 20S | 20 | 0 | 0 | 50S | 50 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | <i>Yr10</i> | TRMR | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 20MRMS | 6.4 | 0 | 0 | 5MRMS | 1.6 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | <i>Yr15</i> | 20S | 20 | 0 | 0 | 10S | 10 | 0 | 0 | 5S | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | <i>Yr17</i> | 60S | 60 | 0 | 0 | 40S | 40 | 0 | 0 | 40S | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | <i>Yr27</i> | 10S | 10 | 0 | 0 | 20S | 20 | 0 | 0 | 40S | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | <i>Yr28</i> | 70MS | 56 | 0 | 0 | 30MS | 24 | 0 | 0 | 5MS | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | <i>Yr31</i> | 50MS | 40 | 0 | 0 | 20MS | 16 | 0 | 0 | 30MS | 24 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | <i>AvS/Yr32</i> | 70S | 70 | 0 | 0 | 30S | 30 | 0 | 0 | 50S | 50 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | <i>YrA</i> | 50S | 50 | 0 | 0 | 20S | 20 | 0 | 0 | 40S | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | <i>YrSP</i> | 50S | 50 | 0 | 0 | 30S | 30 | 0 | 0 | 40S | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | <i>YrTr1</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | <i>T.sp/Yr5</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | <i>Yr(4,H46,3b,4b,+)</i> | 5MS | 4 | 0 | 0 | TRMS | 2.4 | 0 | 0 | TRMS | 2.4 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | <i>Yr(7, 25)</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | <i>Yr(2,6)</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 21 | <i>Yr(3,+)</i> | 10S | 10 | 0 | 0 | TRS | 3 | 5MS | 4 | 5s | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 22 | <i>Yr(8,19)</i> | 10MS | 8 | 0 | 0 | TRMS | 2.4 | 5MR | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 | <i>CaV/Yr32</i> | 60S | 60 | 0 | 0 | 40S | 40 | 0 | 0 | 40S | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| 24 | <i>YrSpP</i> | 5MS | 4 | 0 | 0 | TRMS | 2.4 | 0 | 0 | TRS | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 25 | <i>Yr(2, +)</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | <i>Yr(2, 29)</i> | TRMS | 2.4 | 0 | 0 | 10MS | 8 | 0 | 0 | 30MS | 24 | 0 | 0 |
| 27 | <i>Yr (3,V23,+)</i> | TRMR | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | IMS | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 28 | <i>Yr25</i> | TRMS | 2.4 | 0 | 0 | TRMS | 2.4 | 0 | 0 | 5MS | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 29 | <i>Yr18</i> | 40S | 40 | 0 | 0 | 40MS | 40 | 0 | 0 | 50S | 50 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | <i>Lr9</i> | 80S | 80 | 0 | 0 | TRMS | 2.4 | 0 | 0 | 30MS | 24 | 0 | 0 |
| 31 | <i>Lr16</i> | 80s | 80 | 0 | 0 | 40MS | 32 | 0 | 0 | 50MS | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| 32 | <i>Lr19</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 33 | <i>Lr24</i> | 80S | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80S | 80 | 0 | 0 | 70S | 70 | 0 | 0 |
| 34 | <i>Lr26</i> | 30S | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10S | 10 | 0 | 0 | 20S | 20 | 5MS | 4 |
| 35 | <i>Sr5</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 36 | <i>Sr6</i> | TRMR | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 10R | 2 | 0 | 0 | 10MR | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 37 | <i>Sr9e</i> | 40S | 40 | 0 | 0 | 20S | 20 | 0 | 0 | 30S | 30 | 0 | 0 |
| 38 | <i>Sr11</i> | 5S | 5 | 0 | 0 | TRMS | 2.4 | 0 | 0 | 5MS | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 39 | <i>Sr21</i> | 5S | 5 | 0 | 0 | TRMS | 2.4 | 0 | 0 | 20S | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 40 | <i>Sr24</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 41 | <i>Sr26</i> | 5S | 5 | 0 | 0 | TRMS | 2.4 | 0 | 0 | TRMS | 2.4 | 0 | 0 |
| 42 | <i>Sr30</i> | 10S | 10 | 0 | 0 | 20S | 20 | 0 | 0 | 30S | 30 | 0 | 0 |
| 43 | <i>Sr31</i> | 20S | 8 | 0 | 0 | 40S | 40 | 0 | 0 | 30S | 30 | 0 | 0 |
| 44 | <i>Sr36</i> | 5S | 5 | 0 | 0 | 10S | 10 | 0 | 0 | 40S | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| 45 | <i>Sr38</i> | 60MS | 48 | 0 | 0 | 5S | 50 | 0 | 0 | 20S | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 46 | <i>Avocet S</i> | 60S | 60 | 0 | 0 | 30S | 30 | 0 | 0 | 50S | 50 | 60S | 60 |
| 47 | Little Club | 80S | 80 | 0 | 0 | 70S | 70 | 0 | 0 | 80S | 80 | 60S | 60 |

Note: 0-80 = values of rust severity; R – resistant; MR – moderately resistant; MS – moderately susceptible; S – susceptible; TR- Trace = 3.

that the number of resistant genotypes was 43, which gave immune reaction 0. On the other hand, four genotypes showed different ITs (MR, MS, and S) with different disease severity ranged from 0.8 to 10%. In season 2017/2018, data in Table 5 revealed that the number of resistant genotypes increased to 44, which gave immune reaction 0. While three genotypes showed different ITs (MS and S) with different disease severity.

Evaluation of wheat lines to stem rust disease

From all three growing seasons 2016, 2017, and 2018 noticed that there were no stem rust infections recorded in Yunnan province Table 6.

DISCUSSION

Wheat rust rated the most severe disease that effect on yield production and grain quality worldwide (Wellings, 2011). Using resistant wheat lines or resistance genes will protect wheat production from disease infection and consequently yield losses. In this study, 47 wheat genotypes evaluated to rust diseases, stripe, leaf, and stem rust. The tested genotypes cultivated in different hotspot of wheat rust in two countries Egypt and China during 2015/2016, 2016/2017, and 2017/2018 wheat growing seasons. Resistance to wheat rust is one of the main objectives for breeding program both in Egypt and China. The results indicated that nine resistant genes showed high resistant to stripe rust in both countries during all growing seasons, *i.e.* *Yr5*, *Yr15*, *Yr17*, *YrTr1*, *Yr(7,25)*, *Lr9*, *Lr16*, *Sr6*, however, 38 wheat genotypes varied in their response to stripe rust. In Yunnan 2016, no virulences were found for *Yr5*, *Yr10*, *Yr15*, while the virulence frequencies to *Yr24*, *Yr8* ranged from 0.74% to 11.76% by greenhouse virulence identification (Li *et al.*, 2016). It seemed some genes such as *Yr8*, *Yr10*, *Yr32*, *Yr24* became susceptible to some extent either in the field or in greenhouse monitoring (Li *et al.*, 2018), which needs to be paid more attention in wheat production. Resistance genes such as *Yr5* and *Yr15* are previously known to show a high level of resistance to stripe rust in China, Iran, Turkey, North America, and Africa (Zeybeck and Fahri, 2004; Chen, 2005; Afshari, 2008). The obtained results indicated the excellent performance of *Yr5*, *Yr15*, since it couldn't be attacked all over the growing seasons (100%) efficacy to stripe rust, thus it can be used more widely in both of China and Egypt.

During the three successive seasons, eight genotypes, *YrTr1*, *T.sp/Yr5*, *Yr(7,25)*, *Yr(2,6)*, *Yr(2,+)*, *Lr19*, *Sr5*, *Sr24* showed the high level resistance to leaf rust which correspondingly with low severity (0) in both countries. Recent research indicated that all 30 tested winter cultivars from China didn't carry *Lr19* gene (Yan *et al.*, 2017). Therefore, it is necessary to introduce these genes into Chinese wheat cultivars considering its

excellent resistance performance to a leaf or stripe rust in the field. In Egypt, El-Orabey *et al.* (2015) found wheat resistance genes *Lr1*, *Lr2c*, *Lr3*, *Lr16*, *Lr24*, *Lr26* were susceptible to leaf rust, while, *Lr2a* and *Lr9* showed different reactions. Also, Negm *et al.* (2013) found that *Lr3*, *Lr16*, *Lr24*, and *Lr26* were ineffective against leaf rust during 2009/2010 and 2010/2011 growing seasons, while, *Lr1*, *Lr2a*, *Lr2c* and *Lr9* showed different infection types. Draz *et al.*, (2015) found that 13 *Lr* genes (*Lr9*, *Lr10*, *Lr11*, *Lr16*, *Lr18*, *Lr19*, *Lr26*, *Lr27*, *Lr29*, *Lr30*, *Lr34*, *Lr42*, and *Lr46*) exhibited seedling resistance to leaf rust disease while, nine *Lr* genes (*Lr19*, *Lr20*, *Lr21*, *Lr24*, *Lr29*, *Lr30*, *Lr32*, *Lr34* and *Lr44*) showed adult plant resistance during 2010/2011 and 2011/2012 growing seasons. Moreover, Niazmand *et al.* (2010) found that no virulence detected on *Lr9*, *Lr19*, *Lr25* and *Lr28* resistance genes to leaf rust in Iran during 2007/2008 growing season. Our research supplemented additional information regarding leaf resistance genes, also confirmed that *Lr19* still effective in both Egypt and China at present.

In Egypt, during all growing seasons, the high resistant genotypes to stem rust were, *Yr1*, *Yr5*, *Yr8*, *Yr10*, *Yr15*, *Yr27*, *YrSP*, *YrTr1*, *Yr(4,H46.3b,4b,+)*, *Yr(7,25)*, *Yr(2,6)*, *Yr(3,+)*, *Yr(8,19)*, *CaV/Yr32*, *YrSpP*, *Yr(2,+)*, *Yr(2,29)* *Yr(3,V23,+)*, *Yr25*, *Yr18*, *AvocetS*, *Lr9*, *Lr16*, *Lr26*, *Sr24*, *Sr36*, *Sr38*, these genotypes can be used as a potential source for breeding program in Egypt, while the other tested wheat genotypes were varied in their response to stem rust and showed different ITs (MR, MS, and S) with different disease severity. While in China, all tested genotypes including highly susceptible cultivar Little Club, showed immune to stem rust, this means either the climate was not suitable or the stem pathogen source was not enough for stem rust occurrence in recent years. Thus, we can not draw a conclusion if these genotypes were effective or not by the results of this study. McIntosh *et al.* (2017) reported 82 *Sr* genes by now. Jin *et al.* (2008) found that resistance genes *Sr5*, *Sr6*, *Sr7b*, *Sr8a*, *Sr8b*, *Sr9b*, *Sr9e*, *Sr9g*, *Sr11*, *Sr15*, *Sr17*, *Sr30*, *Sr31*, *Sr38* ineffective to stem rust race (Ug99). Mirza *et al.* (2010) reported that the virulence to resistance genes *Sr13*, *SrTmp*, *Sr1A.1R*, in Africa, the Middle East, and Asia decreases the focus in the usage of these lines in breeding programs. Wheat line *Sr25* was given a high level resistance only when the adult plant *Sr2* also exist, *e.g.*, in recently released Ug99-resistant cultivars Misr 1 in Egypt and Muquawin 09 in Afghanistan (Jain *et al.* 2009). The economic importance of finding and searching for resistance genes to stem rust from old and new cultivars of wheat as a valuable tool has indicated by the Global Rust Initiative (2005).

CONCLUSIONS

Using resistant varieties or resistance genes is the most economical, effective practice, environmentally safe, and

Table 6. An average coefficient of infection (ACI) and rust severity (RS) of the evaluated wheat lines to stem rust under natural conditions of Egypt and China during 2016, 2017, and 2018 growing seasons.

| No. | Resistance gene /genotype | Stem Rust | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|---------------------------|-----------|-----|-------|-----|-------|-----|-------|-----|-------|-----|-------|-----|
| | | 2016 | | | | 2017 | | | | 2018 | | | |
| | | Egypt | | China | | Egypt | | China | | Egypt | | China | |
| | | RS | ACI | RS | ACI | RS | ACI | RS | ACI | RS | ACI | RS | ACI |
| 1 | <i>Yr1</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | <i>AvS/Yr5</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | <i>Yr6</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | TRMR | 1.2 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | <i>Yr7</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5MR | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | <i>Yr8</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | <i>Yr9</i> | TRMS | 2.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5MS | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | <i>Yr10</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | <i>Yr15</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | <i>Yr17</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5MR | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | <i>Yr27</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | <i>Yr28</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | TRMR | 1.2 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | <i>Yr31</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | TRMR | 1.2 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | <i>AvS/Yr32</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | TRMR | 1.2 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | <i>YrA</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5MR | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | <i>YrSP</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | <i>YrTr1</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | <i>T.sp/Yr5</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | <i>Yr(4,H46,3b,4b,+)</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | <i>Yr(7, 25)</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | <i>Yr(2,6)</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 21 | <i>Yr(3,+)</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 22 | <i>Yr(8,19)</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 | <i>CaV/Yr32</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 24 | <i>YrSpP</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 25 | <i>Yr(2, +)</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | <i>Yr(2, 29)</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 27 | <i>Yr (3,V23,+)</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 28 | <i>Yr25</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 29 | <i>Yr18</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | <i>Lr9</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 31 | <i>Lr16</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 32 | <i>Lr19</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | TRMS | 2.4 | 0 | 0 |
| 33 | <i>Lr24</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | TRMS | 2.4 | 0 | 0 |
| 34 | <i>Lr26</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 35 | <i>Sr5</i> | 20S | 20 | 0 | 0 | 5S | 5 | 0 | 0 | 10S | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 36 | <i>Sr6</i> | 20S | 20 | 0 | 0 | 10S | 10 | 0 | 0 | 20S | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 37 | <i>Sr9e</i> | 30MS | 24 | 0 | 0 | TRMS | 2.4 | 0 | 0 | 10S | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 38 | <i>Sr11</i> | TRMS | 2.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10MS | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| 39 | <i>Sr21</i> | 40S | 40 | 0 | 0 | TRMS | 2.4 | 0 | 0 | 10S | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 40 | <i>Sr24</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 41 | <i>Sr26</i> | 10R | 2 | 0 | 0 | TRR | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 10R | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 42 | <i>Sr30</i> | 40S | 40 | 0 | 0 | 30MS | 24 | 0 | 0 | 10S | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 43 | <i>Sr31</i> | 10MS | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 44 | <i>Sr36</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 45 | <i>Sr38</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 46 | <i>Avocet S</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 47 | Little Club | 40s | 40 | 0 | 0 | 20S | 20 | 0 | 0 | 50S | 50 | 0 | 0 |

Note: 0-50= values of rust severity; R-resistant; MR-moderately resistant; MS – moderately susceptible; S – susceptible; TR- Trace = 3.

sustainable disease management strategy, especially for developing countries. Results showed that nine and eight wheat genotypes gave the highest values of disease resistance to stripe rust and leaf rust in Egypt and China, respectively. While 28 genotypes showed highly resistant to stem rust in Egypt, this will provide valuable information for using these resistance genes in wheat breeding program for releasing new resistant cultivars to rust diseases under agroclimatic conditions of Egypt and Yunnan, China.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31560490) and National Key R&D Program of China (2018YFD0200500) for the financial support and to Talented Young Scientist Program (Egypt -18-001) supported by Ministry of Science and Technology of China and also thankful to the authority of Plant Pathology Research Institute, Agriculture Research Centre, Giza, Egypt.

REFERENCES

- Afshari F (2008). Prevalent pathotypes of *Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. *tritici*. Iran J. Agric. Sci. Technol. 10:67-78.
- Afzal SN, Haque MI, Ahmedani MS, Bashir S, Rattu AR (2007). Assessment of yield losses caused by *Puccinia striiformis* triggering stripe rust in the most common wheat varieties. Pak. J. Bot. 39:2127-2134.
- Ali S, Gladieux P, Leconte M, Gautier A, Justesen AF, Hovmøller MS, Enjalbert J, Vallavieille-Pope C (2014). Origin, Migration Routes, and Worldwide Population Genetic Structure of the Wheat Yellow Rust Pathogen *Puccinia striiformis* f.sp. *tritici*. *PLoS Pathogens* 10 (1):e1003903. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003903.
- Beddow JM, Pardey PG, Chai Y, Hurley TM, Kriticos DJ, Braun HJ, Park RF, Cuddy WS, Yonow T (2015). Research investment implications of shifts in the global geography of wheat stripe rust. Nat. Plants 1:15132. [Google Scholar][PubMed].
- Chen XM (2005). Epidemiology and control of stripe rust [*Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. *tritici*] on wheat. *Can. J. Plant Pathol.* 27:314-337.
- Draz IS, Abou-Elseoud MS, Kamara AM, Alaa-Eldein OA, El-Bebany AF (2015). Screening of wheat genotypes for leaf rust resistance along with grain yield. Ann. Agri. Sci. 60(1):29-39.
- EI-Orabey WM, Sallam ME, Omara RI, Abd El-Malik NI (2015). Geographical distribution of *Puccinia triticina* physiologic races in Egypt during 2012-2014 growing seasons. Afr. J. Agric. Res. 10(45):4193-4203.
- Gebrel EEMA, Gad MA, Kishk AMS (2018). Effect of sowing dates on potential yield and rust resistance of some wheat cultivars. *J. Plant Prod. Mansoura Univ.* 9(4):369-375.
- Global Rust Initiative (2005). A Concept Note: Breeding varieties to resist stem rust race Ug99. (www.globalrust.org).
- Hasan MA, Boulit OA, Abou-Zeid M, Gad MA (2016). Impact of different levels of stem and stripe rust severities on two-grain yield components of wheat. *Minufiya J. Agric. Res.* 41(3):621-629.
- Huerta-Espino J, Singh RP, German S, McCallum BD, Park RF, Chen WQ, Bhawaj SC, Goyeau H (2011). Global status of wheat leaf rust caused by *Puccinia triticina*. *Euphytica* 179:143-160.
- Jain SK, Prashar M, Bhardwaj SC, Singh SB, Sharma YP (2009). Emergence of virulence to *Sr25* of *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici* on wheat in India. *Plant Dis.* 93:840.
- Jin Y, Szabo LJ, Pretorius ZA, Singh RP, Ward R, Fetch TJ (2008). Detection of virulence to resistance gene *Sr24* within race TTKS of *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici*. *Plant Dis.* 92:923-926.
- Johnston CO, Browder LE (1966). Seventh revision of the international register of physiologic races of *Puccinia recondita* f. sp. *tritici*. *Plant Dis. Rep.* 50:756-760.
- Kang Z, Zhao J, Han D, Zhang H, Wang X, Wang C, Guo J, Huang L (2010). Status of wheat rust research and control in China. In Proceedings of the BGRI 2010 Technical Workshop Oral Presentations, St. Petersburg, Russia, 30–31 May 2010. [Google Scholar].
- Kassem M, El-Ahmed A, Hakim MS, El-Khaliefa M, Nachit M (2011). Identification of prevalent races of *Puccinia triticina* Eriks. In Syria and Lebanon. *Arab J. Plant Prot.* 29(1): 7–13.
- Khan MH, Bukhari A, Dar ZA, Rizvi SM (2013). Status and strategies in breeding for rust resistance in wheat. *Agric. Sci.* 4:292-301.
- Kokhmetova MAA, Rsaliev S, Rsaliev A, Yessenbekova G, Typina L (2011). Wheat Germplasm Screening for Stem Rust Resistance Using Conventional and Molecular Techniques. *Czech. J. Genet. Plant Breed.* 47: S146-S154.
- Large EC (1954). Growth stages in cereals. Illustration of the Feekes scale. *Plant Pathol.* 3:128-129.
- Li MJ, Chen XM, Wan AM, Ding ML, Cheng JS (2018). Virulence characterization of stripe rust pathogen *Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. *tritici* population to 18 near-isogenic lines resistant to wheat yellow rust in Yunnan Province. *J. Plant Prot.* 45(1):75-82 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Li TY, Cao YY, Wu XX, Xu XF, Wang WL (2016). Seedling Resistance to Stem Rust and Molecular Marker Analysis of Resistance Genes in Wheat Cultivars of Yunnan, China. *PLoS One.* Oct 28, 11(10):e0165640. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0165640. eCollection.
- Li ZF, Lan CX, He ZH, Singh RP, Rosewarne GM, Chen XM, Xia XC (2014). Overview and application QTL for adult plant resistance to leaf rust and powdery mildew in wheat. *Crop Sci.* 54:1907-1925.
- McIntosh RA, Dubcovsky J, Rogers WJ, Morris C, Xia XC (2017). Catalogue of gene symbols for wheat. Available at <https://www.wvheat.pw.usda.gov/G/G2/pubs.html> (accessed February 2018).
- Mirza JI, Rattu A, Khanzada KA, Ahmad I, Fetch T (2010). Race analysis of stem rust isolates collected from Pakistan in 2008–09. In *Proceedings of BGRI 2010 Technical Workshop*, ed. R McIntosh, Z Pretorius, St Petersburg, Russia, May 30–31, 2010; p. 5.
- Niazmand AR, Afshari F, Abbasi M, Rezaee S (2010). Study on pathotypes diversity and virulence factors of *Puccinia triticina* Eriksson, the causal agent of wheat brown rust in Iran. *Iran. J. Plant Path.* 46(3):53-55.
- Negm SS, Boulit OA, Hermas GA (2013). Virulence dynamics and diversity in wheat leaf rust (*Puccinia triticina*) populations in Egypt during 2009/2010 and 2010/2011 growing seasons. *Egypt. J. Appl. Sci.* 28:183-212.
- Pathan AK, Park RF (2006). Evaluation of seedling and adult plant resistance to leaf rust in European wheat cultivars. *Euphytica* 149: 327-342.
- Peterson RF, Campbell AB, Hannah AE (1948). A diagrammatic scale for rust intensity on leaves and stems of cereals. *Can. J. Res.* 26:496-500.
- Saari EE, Wilcoxson RD (1974). Plant disease situation of high yielding durum wheat in Asia and Africa. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 2:49-68.
- Shewry PR, Hey SJ (2015). Review: The contribution of wheat to the human diet and health. *Food and Energy Security.* 4 (3):178-202. doi:10.1002/fes3.64.PMC 4998136. PMID 27610232.
- Shi Y, Huang W, González-Moreno P, Luke B, Dong Y, Zheng Q, Ma H, Liu L (2018). Wavelet-Based Rust Spectral Feature Set (WRSFs): A Novel Spectral Feature Set Based on Continuous Wavelet Transformation for Tracking Progressive Host-Pathogen Interaction of Yellow Rust on Wheat. *Remote Sens.* 10: 525. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef].
- USDA (2019). World Agricultural Production. Circular Series WAP 8-19 August 2019 United States Department of Agriculture, Washington DC, USA. <http://www.nass.usda.gov/Publications/>.
- Wellings CR (2011). Global status of stripe rust: a review of historical and current threats. *Euphy.* 179:129-141.
- Yan XC, Li ZF, Yang HL, Zhang HH, Gebrewahid TW, Yao ZJ, Liu DQ, Zhou Y (2017). Analysis of Wheat Leaf Rust Resistance genes

in 30 Important Wheat Cultivars. *Scientia Agricultura Sinica*, 50(2):272-285 (in Chinese with English abstract).
Zeybeck A, Fahri Y (2004). Determination of virulence genes frequencies in wheat stripe rust (*Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. *tritici*) populations during natural epidemics in the regions of Southern Aegean and Western Mediterranean in Turkey. *Pak. J. Biol. Sci.* 11: 1967-1971.

Zhou HX, Xia XC, He ZH, Li X, Wang CF, Li ZF, Liu DQ (2013). Molecular mapping of leaf rust gene *LrNJ97* in Chinese wheat line Neijiang 977671. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 126:2141-2147.

<http://www.sciencewebpublishing.net/jacr>